Symptomatic treatment of the cough inwhooping cough (Review)

Dr Pham Thai Son

Disease Description

- Pertussis, a cough illness commonly known as whooping cough (100 Day Cough), is caused by the bacterium Bordetella pertussis.
- Prolonged paroxysmal cough often accompanied by an inspiratory whoop.
- Around 16 million cases of whooping cough (pertussis) occur worldwide each year, mostly in lowincome countries.
- Much of the morbidity of whooping cough in children and adults is due to the effects of the paroxysmal cough

Pertussis Complications

- Syncope
- Sleep disturbance
- Incontinence
- Rib fractures
- Complications among infants
 - Pneumonia (22%)
 - Seizures (2%)
 - Encephalopathy (<0.5%)
- Death
 - Infants, particularly those who have not received a primary vaccination series, are at risk for complications and mortality.



Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Symptomatic treatment of the cough in whooping cough (Review)

Wang K, Bettiol S, Thompson MJ, Roberts NW, Perera R, Heneghan CJ, Harnden A

Cough treatments proposed include

- corticosteroids,
- beta2-adrenergic agonists,
- pertussis-specific immunoglobulin,
- antihistamines
- •leukotriene receptor antagonists (LTRAs).

Objectives

To assess the effectiveness and safety of interventions to reduce the severity of paroxysmal cough in whooping cough in children and adults.

Outcome

- Paroxysms of cough per 24 hours
- Mean paroxysmal cough per hour
- Mean number of whoops per day (first week)
- Mean whoops per hour

Secondary outcomes

- Frequency of vomiting
- Frequency of whoop
- Frequency of cyanosis (turning blue) during cough
- Development of a serious complication, for example cerebral haemorrhage or convulsions; or presence of subcutaneous emphysema or pneumothorax
- Mortality from any cause
- Side effects of medication
- Admission to hospital
- Duration of hospital stay

- Twelve trials from our literature search between 1950 and 2014 met our inclusion criteria.
- Most of the trials were generally old and poorly reported while the majority of randomised controlled trials (RCTs) were performed in the 1980s.
- There were two exceptions (Halperin 2007; Wang 2014), which were well designed and well executed.

Pavesio 1977	Salbutamol 0.5 mg/kg/day orally in 3 doses for 15 days
Krantz 1985	Salbutamol 0.6 mg/kg/day orally in 4 doses for 2 days
Mertsola 1986	Salbutamol orally 0.1 mg/kg orally 3 times a day for 10 days

- (Krantz 1985). The dosage of salbutamol was 0.6 mg/kg/day in four divided doses for two days. (N = 17)
- There was no statistically significant difference in coughing paroxysms, with a mean increase of 0.3 coughs per 24 hours in the salbutamol group (95%CI -5.3 to 6).
- In the second study (Mertsola 1986) (N = 27) treatment was administered orally at 0.1 mg/kg three times a day for 10 days
- There was no statistically significant difference in coughing paroxysms: MD -0.7 coughs per day in the salbutamol group (95% CI -6.2 to 4.7).

- In both trials, data were reported for each 24hour period.
- There was no evidence of heterogeneity in paroxysmal cough per 24 hours (P value = 0.79).
- There was no statistically significant difference in coughing paroxysms: MD -0.22 coughs per 24 hours in groups treated with salbutamol (95% CI -4.1 to 3.7; P value = 0.91)

Analysis 3.1. Comparison 3 Salbutamol versus placebo, Outcome 1 Paroxysms of cough per day.

Review: Symptomatic treatment of the cough in whooping cough

Comparison: 3 Salbutamol versus placebo

Outcome: I Paroxysms of cough per day

Study or subgroup	Salbutamol		Placebo		Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	N	Mean(SD)	N	Mean(SD)	IV,Fixed,95% CI		IV,Fixed,95% CI
Krantz 1985	9	8.66 (6.71)	9	8.33 (5.38)	-	48.4 %	0.33 [-5.29, 5.95]
Mertsola 1986	10	8.56 (5.27)	14	9.3 (8.3)	-	51.6 %	-0.74 [-6.18, 4.70]
Total (95% CI)	19		23		+	100.0 %	-0.22 [-4.13, 3.69]
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	0.07, df = 1 (P =	= 0.79); l ² =0.0%					
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.11 (P = 0.1)	91)					
Test for subgroup diffe	erences: Not appl	icable					
	3500				E 1 E	ι	
				-20	0 -10 0 10 3	20	
				Favours	s salbutamol Favours plac	cebo	

Antihistamines

Miraglia 1984	Chlophedianol 1.62 mg/kg/day orally plus sobrerol 3.6 mg/kg/day orally	
Danzon 1988	Diphenhydramine 5 mg/kg/day orally in 3 doses	
Ghaffari 2011	Intervention group: azithromycin +, cetirizine 10 ml + tramadol 50 mg Control group: azithromycin + cetirizine 10 ml daily from days 1 to 5	

Antihistamine versus placebo

- There was no statistically significant difference between the numbers of paroxysms of cough in 24 hours
- diphenhydramine group (mean 22.6, standard deviation (SD) 13.1)
- placebo group (mean 20.7, SD 10.2; mean difference (MD) 1.90; 95% CI -4.7 to 8.5; P value = 0.66)

Antihistamines

Analysis I.I. Comparison I Antihistamines versus placebo, Outcome I Paroxysms of cough per 24 hours.

Review: Symptomatic treatment of the cough in whooping cough

Comparison: I Antihistamines versus placebo

Outcome: I Paroxysms of cough per 24 hours

Study or subgroup	Diphenhydramine		Placebo		Dit	Mean ference	Weight	Mean Difference
15 8782 W	Ν	Mean(SD)	N	Mean(SD)	IV,Ran	dom,95% CI	(100 min)	IV,Random,95% CI
Danzon 1988	25	22.6 (13.1)	24	20.7 (10.2)	-			1.90 [-4.66, 8.46]
Subtotal (95% CI)	0		0					0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Heterogeneity: not applica	able							
Test for overall effect: Z =	0.0 (P < 0.00001)							
					L T	1 1		
				8	20 -10	0 10 2	0	
				Favours :	antihistamines	Favours place	ebo	

Pertussis-specific immunoglobulin

Lucchesi 1949	Pertussis immune serum, 50 to 100 ml IV by 50 ml/ day until improvement, or 5 doses	
Granstrom 1991	Specific immunoglobulin treatment, 8 ml IM into the buttocks, 2 ml either side on the second day	
Halperin 2007	P-IGIV (750mg/kg) or placebo was administered as a single infusion over 3 hours; initial infusion was 1.5 ml/kg/hr increasing gradually to 6.0 ml/kg/hr	

Pertussis-specific immunoglobulin

- Granstrom 1991 reported a possible mean reduction of -3.1 whoops per 24 hours (95% CI 6.2 to 0.02, N = 47 participants) but no change in hospital stay (MD -0.7 days; 95% CI -3.8 to 2.4, N = 46 participants).
- (Halperin 2007, N = 25) assessing the effect of intravenous pertussis immunoglobulin (P-IGIV) There was no statistically significant difference in paroxysmal cough in the treatment group compared to the placebo group: MD-0.07 coughs per hour (95% CI -0.42 to 0.27; P value = 0.65)

Pertussis-specific immunoglobulin

Analysis 2.1. Comparison 2 Pertussis immunoglobulin versus placebo, Outcome 1 Mean paroxysmal cough per hour.

Review: Symptomatic treatment of the cough in whooping cough

Comparison: 2 Pertussis immunoglobulin versus placebo

Outcome: I Mean paroxysmal cough per hour

Study or subgroup	[Not identical]		Placebo		Dit	Mean fference	Weight	Mean Difference
	N	Mean(SD)	N	Mean(SD)	IV,Rand	dom,95% CI		IV,Random,95% CI
Halperin 2007	17	0.732 (0.463)	7	0.81 (0.355)				-0.07 [-0.42, 0.27]
Subtotal (95% CI)	0		0					0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Heterogeneity: not applica	ble							
Test for overall effect: $Z =$	0.0 (P < 0.00001)							
	10000				3 3	S (8	13	
					-100 -50	0 50 10	00	
				Favours in	nmunoplobulin	Favours place	ebo	

Analysis 2.2. Comparison 2 Pertussis immunoglobulin versus placebo, Outcome 2 Mean number of whoops per day (first week).

Review: Symptomatic treatment of the cough in whooping cough

Comparison: 2 Pertussis immunoglobulin versus placebo

Outcome: 2 Mean number of whoops per day (first week)

Study or subgroup	[Not identical]		Placebo		Dif	Mean Terence	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	N	Mean(SD)	IV,Rano	dom,95% CI		IV,Random,95% CI
Granstrom 1991	33	1.7 (3.1)	14	4.8 (5.6)	: 			-3.10 [-6.22, 0.02]
Subtotal (95% CI)	0		0					0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Heterogeneity: not applica	ble							
Test for overall effect: $Z =$	0.0 (P < 0.00001)							
							į.	
					-20 -10	0 10 7	20	
				Favours in	mmunoglobulin	Favours place	ebo	

Analysis 2.4. Comparison 2 Pertussis immunoglobulin versus placebo, Outcome 4 Duration of hospital stay (days).

Review: Symptomatic treatment of the cough in whooping cough

Comparison: 2 Pertussis immunoglobulin versus placebo

Outcome: 4 Duration of hospital stay (days)

Study or subgroup	[Not identical]		Placebo			I	M Differe	ean nce	M	/eight	Mean Difference
	N	Mean(SD)	N	Mean(SD)		IV,Ra	ndom	,95% CI			IV,Random,95% CI
Granstrom 1991	32	5 (3.2)	14	5.7 (5.5)		8-	3.				-0.70 [-3.79, 2.39]
Subtotal (95% CI)	0		0								0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Heterogeneity: not applica	able										
Test for overall effect: $Z =$	0.0 (P < 0.00001)										
					Ė	i.	_	j)	(i)		
					-10	-5	0	5	10		
				Favours	immuno	oglobulin		Favours	placebo		

Corticosteroids

Zoumboulakis 1973	Hydrocortisone 30 mg/kg/day intramuscularly for 2 days followed by a reduced dosage over 6 days	
Roberts 1992	Dexamethasone 0.3 mg/kg/day for 4 days.	

Corticosteroids

Analysis 4.1. Comparison 4 Steroids versus placebo, Outcome I Duration of hospital stay (days).

Review: Symptomatic treatment of the cough in whooping cough

Comparison: 4 Steroids versus placebo

Outcome: I Duration of hospital stay (days)

Study or subgroup	Dexamethasone N	Mean(SD)	Placebo N	Mean(SD)		Mean erence om,95% CI	Weight	Mean Difference IV,Random,95% CI
Roberts 1992	7	14.3 (8.67)	4	17.75 (10.21)	8 A			-3.45 [-15.34, 8.44]
Subtotal (95% CI)	0		0					0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
Heterogeneity: not applicable								
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.0$	(P < 0.00001)							

leukotriene receptor antagonists (LTRAs)

Wang 2014	Montelukast sodium 10 mg tablets or image- matched placebo tablets (main excipient lactose monohydrate) for 14 days. Participants chose whether to continue taking study medication after 2 weeks	

Outcomes	Mean (standard d	eviation)	Mean difference	No. of participants		
	Treatment	Placebo	(95% CI)	(studies)		
Paroxysms of cough per 24 hours (diphen- hydramine)	22.6 (13.1)	20.7(10.2)	1.90 (-4.66 to 8.46)	49 (1)		
Paroxysms of cough per day (salbutamol)) *	*	-0.22 (-4.13 to 3.69)	42 (2)		
Mean paroxysmal cough per hour (pertussis im- munoglobulin)	0.73 (0.46)	0.81 (0.36)	-0.07 (-0.42 to 0.27)	24 (1)		
Mean whoops per hour (pertussis immunoglobulin)	0.39 (0.38)	0.46 (0.28)	-0.06 (-0.34 to 0.21)	24 (1)		
Duration of hospital stay, days (pertussis im- munoglobulin)	5 (3.2)	5.7 (5.5)	-0.70 (-3.79 to 2.39)	46 (1)		
Duration of hospital stay, days (dexametha- sone)	14.3 (8.7)	17.8 (10.2)	-3.45 (-15.34 to 8.44)	11 (1) 25		

Summary of main results

- This systematic review has found that there is insufficient evidence to support the use of current interventions.
- Only one trial indicated some benefit in the use of pertussis immunoglobulin but more research is required to substantiate this finding.

SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- Supportive care is the mainstay of treatment for pertussis in infants and children (child's fluid and nutritional status)
- Indications for hospitalization include increased work of breathing, inability to feed, cyanosis, apnea, seizures, or concerns for rapid deterioration, or infants <3 months
- Adjunctive treatments including bronchodilators, corticosteroids, and antitussive agents have not been proven.

thank for attention!